

ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>Inez J. Howe
<ADDRESS1>286 Knollwood Cir
<ADDRESS2> Louisville
<CITY>
<STATE>KY
<ZIP>40229
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>mominez@msn.com
<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>Inez J. Howe
<ADDRESS1>286 Knollwood Cir
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>
<STATE>KY
<ZIP>40229
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>mominez@msn.com
<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>
<ADDRESS1>
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>
<STATE>KY
<ZIP>
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>
<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>Inez J. Howe
<ADDRESS1>286 Knollwood Cir
<ADDRESS2>Louisville
<CITY>
<STATE>KY
<ZIP>40229

<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>mominez@msn.com
<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>Inez J. Howe
<ADDRESS1>286 Knollwood Cir
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>
<STATE>KY
<ZIP>40229
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>mominez@msn.com
<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>
<ADDRESS1>
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>
<STATE>KY
<ZIP>
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>
<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME> Inez J. Howe`
<ADDRESS1>286 Knollwood Circle
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>LOuisville
<STATE>Kentucky
<ZIP>40229
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>
<TEXT>I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge
you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how

contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Inez J. Howe
286 Knollwood Cir
Louisville, Kentucky 40229